

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/041,896	01/07/2002	Brenda D. Kraus	MI22-1859	5572		
21567 7	590 07/02/2002					
WELLS ST. JOHN P.S.			EXAMINER			
601 W. FIRST SUITE 1300			HUYNH, YENNHU B			
SPOKANE, WA 99201-3828			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2813			
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002	DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				- Am				
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/041,896		KRAUS ET AL.				
es	· Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	-			
_		Yennhu B. Hı	·	2813				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the co	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence address				
A SHOTHE I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl	136(a). In no event,	however, may a reply be timy minimum of thirty (30) days	ely filed s will be considered timely.				
- Failu - Any r earne	o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e, cause the applicat	ion to become ABANDONED	O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	-	£					
2a)□	,	nis action is no						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>								
•	Claim(s) <u>21-28 and 42-56</u> is/are pending in th	ne application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
<i>,</i>	Claim(s) 21-28 and 42-56 are subject to restrict	ction and/or el	ection requirement.					
•	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) 🗌 ob	jected to by the Exar	miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ appı	oved b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen	-	. •						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/041,896

Art Unit: 2813

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- a). Species I: claims 21-28, drawn to a DRAM circuitry device, classified in class 257 class 908.
- b). Species II: claims 42-56, drawn to a field emission device, classified in class 257, subclass 303.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Bernard Berman on 6/17/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is

Application/Control Number: 10/041,896

Art Unit: 2813

allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yennhu B. Huynh whose telephone number is 703-308-6110. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8.00AM-4.30PM...

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

YNBH, June 28, 2002

OLIK CHAUDHURI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 3